

QUESTIONS FOR ANSWERS ON THE DAY

(but not taken up).

Pick-ups and new tanks contemplated in the Chikkahalla and Doddahalla Valley, in Sathnur Hobli.

*Q.—2. Sri S. KARIAPPA (Kanakapura).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the names and spots of pick-ups and new tanks contemplated in the Chikkahalla and Doddahalla Valleys in Sathnur Hobli ;

(b) whether any survey of such pick-ups and tanks have been undertaken so far ;

(c) if so, the names of such pick-ups and new tanks ;

(d) the Sub-Division which has conducted such survey ;

(e) the estimated cost of such pick-ups and tanks ?

A.—Sri ALUR HANUMANTHAPPA (Deputy Minister for Minor Irrigation).—

(a) There are no valleys by names (Chikkahalla and Doddahalla) in Sathnur Hobli.

(b), (c), (d) and (e) do not arise in view of reply to clause (a).

Reservation of lands to the expropriated ryots of Sharavathy Valley Project.

*Q.—248. Sri V. S. LAKSHMIKANTHAPPA (Sagar).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the reasons that prompted Government to reserve plots of land below 50 acres in the Bhadra Channel area to the expropriated ryots of Sharavathy Project, in detriment of their free choice ;

(b) whether they are aware that this has caused hindrance in the way of early settlement of the expropriated ryots of the submersion area ?

A.—Sri M. V. KRISHNAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—

(a) With a view to safeguard the interests of the expropriated ryots of Sharavathy Valley Project and to

provide them with alternate lands as early as possible, the reservation has been made. This reservation does not debar the expropriated ryots from applying for grant of lands elsewhere.

(b) No.

CALLING ATTENTION TO MATTERS OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

Re : Lathi Charge at Sreerampura during July 1962.

†Sri S. SIVAPPA (Sravanabelagola).—Sir, with your permission, I call the attention of the Hon'ble Home Minister regarding an incident that happened at Srirampura on Sunday the 22nd evening in a meeting held by Kannada sympathisers. It is a pity that in a Kannada State like Mysore, Kannada speaking people are being.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon. Member Sri Sivappa knows that it is only a calling attention motion. So, he should be brief.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—They are in a plight. Their meetings are held for propagation of Kannada and in a non-violent way, they organise and hold their meetings. Even then, in those meetings, they are heckled and Police have to intervene to give protection. This Government has failed in its duty to give protection.....

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Chair has not given permission to the Hon. Member to say all that.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—I am calling the attention of the Government as to what protection they have given and what methods they have adopted—no doubt, they have instituted enquiry against those who created trouble.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Minister for Home will please reply.

Sri R. M. PATIL (Minister for Home).—Mr. Speaker, Sir, this incident which took place on the 22nd was a sporadic one. It was sometime between 9 and 9-30 p.m. at Srirampura. After conducting a procession in almost all the main streets, they went to Srirampura. There, some of the leading members addressed the meeting. The object of the meeting was to make propaganda

in respect of Kannada language and Kannada culture. The object was a laudable one, no doubt. After the meeting was over, the people were about to disperse. At that time, it so happened that some rumours were spread that there was a scuffle and that it took place in a nearby lane. Taking advantage of this, some of the rowdy elements quarrelled among themselves and exchanged words, and during that scuffle five people were injured. There was no lathi charge. The Police were all the while vigilant throughout the procession, and the Police were at that spot, at the nearest place. Under such circumstances, it is not correct and proper to observe that there was no Police bandobust. On the other hand, the Police were vigilant. They were apprised of the whole situation. Therefore, that incident took place between 9 and 9-30 P.M. among the masses, and as a result only five persons were injured, and those injuries were insignificant and minor. One of them was removed to the Hospital. Out of these five persons, two were Kannadigas and three were Tamilians. Such is the incident and in view of the nature of the incident, my humble submission to this august House and the Hon'ble Speaker is that it is not a fit one to call the attention of the Home Minister.....

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA (Sira).—Sir, you have permitted it.

Sri R. M. PATIL.—In order to satisfy the craze for discussion, I have agreed. Therefore, my humble submission is that it is a forgotten incident.....

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—Sir, what is the meaning of the word 'craze'. Nobody is crazy here.

Sri R. M. PATIL.—I am not yielding.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—The House is doing its duty.

Sri S. GOPALA GOWDA (Thirthahalli).—Sir, I take exception to what the Hon'ble Home Minister said. He said that this was not a fit case to be allowed for calling attention motion and that it is a craze for discussing such things. It is very unbecoming of the Hon. Home Minister to make that remark. You may kindly expunge those words.

Sri R. M. PATIL.—Sir, this is his information and that is my information. In my opinion, I wish to say and I have already submitted that this is not a case where there was a lathi charge. At the time I agreed, I thought that it must be gone into in detail. But after going into details, I have come to this conclusion, and therefore, that is my humble submission.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Having consented for admission the Hon. Minister feels that it should not have been admitted. But he says that on further examination, 'I find that this is the position.'

Sri R. M. PATIL.—Other considerations are there. Perhaps my friends also may take it calmly and quietly. I am told that the Kannada Samyuktha Ranga is supported by the Praja Socialist Party of Karnataka.....

Sri S. M. KRISHNA (Maddur).—What does the Hon'ble Minister mean by saying that the Praja Socialist Party supports it?

Sri R. M. PATIL.—Even today, it is a laudable object. But when you call the attention of the Home Minister on the ground that there is a lathi charge, when there was no lathi charge, it is no question at all.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—Is Kannada Samyuktha Ranga a political organisation or is it an organisation of a political party, or is it an independent organisation?

Sri R. M. PATIL.—It may or it may not be.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—Sir, he cannot make such allegations against an organisation. It is not a political organisation.

Mr. SPEAKER.—My difficulty is to make myself heard.....

Sri R. M. PATIL.—It would amount to allegation only if the object is not laudable. When I say that the Sangh is supported by the P. S. P. it is no allegation at all because the object of the Sangh is laudable.

(Several Hon'ble Members rose.)

Mr. SPEAKER.—If several members get up when the Minister is speaking, how can the Chair maintain order? He has said that one party is supporting the Sangh. There is nothing wrong

(MR. SPEAKER)

Member's Representation.

in supporting it. Why do parties exist if not to support good causes?

Sri R. M. PATIL.—I am not accusing anybody or any institution and I am not making any allegation against anybody. I am stating only facts and the facts are these. Therefore this is not a case to which the Home Minister's attention is to be called.

Sri K. LAKKAPPA (Hebbur).—The Home Minister was pleased to say that the P. S. P. is supporting the Samyukta Karnatak Kannada Sangh. What is the source of information of the Home Minister to make this statement? Will he substantiate it?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Before the Minister answers the point, I want to ask the Hon. Member whether he disputes his statement. If he disputes I will call upon him to reply. Otherwise I am not going to permit him.

Sri K. LAKKAPPA.—Unless the Home Minister divulges his source of information, how can we dispute it?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Leader of the P. S. P. in the Legislature has said that they are supporting this institution and all good causes.

Sri K. LAKKAPPA.—The Home Minister has said that the P. S. P. is supporting this institution. What is the source of information on which he has said this? I want a clarification on that point.

Sri R. M. PATIL.—The keen interest shown by so many members of the P. S. P. here on the floor of the House is itself proof of that.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

Sri R. M. PATIL. (Minister for Home).—I beg to lay on the table Notification No. HD 58 (2) TMT, 62 dated 4th June 1962 (Exemption of Tax payable in respect of the Motor Vehicle of Mr. Edward V. Wood, T.C.M. Expert), as required under Section 16 (2) of the Mysore Motor Vehicles Taxation Act, 1957.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA (Sira).—Sir, I am making a request for the past 10 days to get a reply from the Education Minister for an inconsistent statement made by him.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The hon'ble member has been saying that there is some contradiction with regard to facts.

Sri S. R. KANTHI (Minister for Education).—I do not know what exactly is the point because neither the member nor the Secretary has communicated to me what is the inconsistency about it.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Shall I ask him to state his point?

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Sir, there are 3 Additional Joint Directors and their duties and responsibilities have not been clarified. They are treated just like Head Clerks. They have to work under the D. P. I. to whom every paper has to go. They are just like case workers in the Secretariat. I want to know what is their position, what is their status and what are their duties and responsibilities. The other day when I raised the point the Education Minister was pleased to reply that there is a notification and the Chair directed to please give me a fresh copy of it and asked me to go through it. I went about for one full week, but there is no such notification and there is no such clarification. There is no such direction from Government. The matter of assigning duties and responsibilities is pending before Government. I have brought it to your notice. I have sent you 3 letters in this connection. I have requested the Chair and the Government through the Chair for a clarification, but till today the Education Minister has not come forward with a definite reply. I want to know whether his statement is correct or not.

†Sri S. R. KANTHI.—The Hon'ble member uses a language which is rather strange. I do not know what is the inconsistency. If I do not know what I have got to reply to, how can I reply? I have only now come to know what he wants me to say. He